1.0   BACKGROUND

The socio-political and economic situation in Barbados at the current time is of concern to many individuals or groups of individuals.

As government sets out to deal with the matter of governance and management of the national economy, there are questions being raised about some of the decisions and strategies being undertaken.

With the above in mind, concerned interests requested a proposal to conduct an independent survey to assess the opinion of the general public that would provide assistance, and guidance towards the achievement of some targeted strategies.

The survey as proposed will be for use by the client for their internal planning and assessment and not for public consumption via the mass media.

2.0   OBJECTIVES

The core objectives for the proposed research were finalized in collaboration with the client, and included the following:

  o   To evaluate the general mood of the country (Outlook positive or negative);

  o   To measure overall perception of government performance since taking office and in the current term;

  o   To measure perception if the Opposition party was in power;

  o   To identify most pressing problems facing the country, and individuals;

  o   To examine qualities expected for political leadership;

  o   To identify individual most suited to lead:

    -      Barbados

    -      DLP

    -      BLP

  o   To explore public opinion on the political and economic leadership resting with the same individual;

  o   To assess public opinion on the management of specific social issues;

    -      Crime

    -      Drugs

    -      Unemployment

    -      The economy

    -      Water resources

    -      Garbage Collection

    -      Education

o   To assess the possible effect that the Maria Agard issue might have on BLP;

o   To evaluate the general performance of Government;

o   To assess public opinion on the possibility of an accommodation of Owen Arthur within the BLP;

o   To assess voter intention if elections were held soon;

o   To examine voter behavior in the last election;

o   ***Other objectives were determined by the interests of the stakeholders;***

3.0   SCOPE

The proposed research was be undertaken at a national level, within targeted constituencies.

4.0   METHODOLOGY

In order to achieve the objectives outlined above, a quantitative survey was undertaken.
A questionnaire was developed in collaboration with the stakeholders, ensuring that all of the objectives are addressed.

Trained, experienced interviewers administered the questionnaire in face- to- face interviews with a selected sample of individuals in the designated areas.
The interviewers were briefed specifically for this exercise.

The completed questionnaires were edited for consistency, and coded for processing by computer.
Random checks were also made to ensure compliance with the instructions given and to validate the process.

The processed data were analyzed by demographic characteristics and form the basis of this report presented to the stakeholders.
Other discrete characteristics were also analyzed as determined by the stakeholders.

5.0   SAMPLE DESIGN AND SELECTION

The sample targeted 1080 persons 16 years and older who are nationals of Barbados.
Respondents were selected from three polling divisions in each of the thirty constituencies as the sample selection base.

The final sample design and selection was determined in collaboration with the client.
For the sample selection a multi-stage sample design was employed, with inter-locking
quota control on age and sex to be representative of the national population 16 years and older.

- The first stage of the process will be the selection of polling divisions within constituencies.

- The second stage was the selection of households within the selected polling divisions.

- The third stage was the selection of the respondent using a specifically designed quota control selection sheet, removing the bias of selection from the interviewer, while at the same time maintaining the pre-determined sample targets representative of the target population. Only one person was selected from a household.

6.0   PRECISION OF ESTIMATES OF POPULATION PROPORTIONS

The precision of estimates of population proportions from sample sizes (n) of 1 000, 500 and 100
is given below. As a general guide the coefficients of variation of less than 10% would indicate that the
sample size is adequate for detecting significant differences between the stated
 population proportions.

<p align="center">Precision of the Estimates of Population Proportions</p>

P		0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9
n = 1000	S.e.(p)	0.0089	0.0160	0.0209	0.0239	0.0249	0.0239	0.0209	0.0160	0.0089
	c.v.(%)	8.9	8.0	6.9	5.9	5.0	4.0	3.0	2.0	1.0
n = 500	S.e.(p)	0.0180	0.0320	0.0420	0.0480	0.0500	0.0480	0.0420	0.0320	0.0180
	c.v.(%)	18.0	16.0	14.0	12.0	10.0	8.0	6.0	4.0	2.0
n = 100	S.e.(p)	0.0909	0.1616	0.2120	0.2423	0.2542	0.2423	0.2120	0.1616	0.0909
	c.v.(%)	91.0	80.8	70.7	60.6	50.8	40.4	30.3	20.2	10.1

where p is the estimate of population proportions, s.e (p) is the square root of the variance and c.v is
coefficient of variations.

7.0   DELIVERABLES & PROPRIETY

The client will receive three bound copies of the report if required.

The report will also be provided in Electronic format.

All data and results remain the exclusive property of the client.

8.0   TIMING

The data collection exercise as outlined was completed during the month of January 2016.

9.0   TEAM

The SYSTEMATIC team for the research exercise was led by Mr. Joe Davis, supported by clerical, administrative, and supervisory staff, and a team of Interviewers.

10.0 ANALYSIS

Q1   Overall direction of Life in Barbados

A very small group of respondents (6%) feel that things in Barbados are going in the right direction, while over a third (36%) feel that things in Barbados are going in the wrong direction, and getting worse. Just over half of the respondents (54%) are reluctant to choose a side as some things are going in the right direction and some are going in the wrong direction.

The views expressed are well spread across demographic groups, and this implies that the vast majority of respondents in the sample are saying that any governing entity will be faced with the expectation of the Barbadian population to turn things around.

```
Crosstabulation:    Q1        OVERALL DIRECTION OF LIFE IN B'DOS        By QI      SEX OF RESPONDENT

     QI->     Count  |Male    |Female  |  Row
              Col Pct|        |        |  Total
Q1                   --------------------
  Right direction    |    33  |    35  |    68
                     |   6.3  |   6.0  |   6.1

  Wrong direction    |   180  |   219  |   399
                     |  34.5  |  37.3  |  36.0

  Some things righ   |   284  |   317  |   601
                     |  54.5  |  54.0  |  54.2

  DK/NA/Refused (D   |    24  |    16  |    40
                     |   4.6  |   2.7  |   3.6
                     --------------------
          Column        521      587     1108
          Total        47.0     53.0    100.0


Crosstabulation:    Q1        OVERALL DIRECTION OF LIFE IN B'DOS        By QII     AGE GROUP

     QII->    Count  |16-24   |25-39   |40-55   |55+     |  Row
              Col Pct|        |        |        |        |  Total
Q1                   ------------------------------------
  Right direction    |     8  |    10  |    21  |    29  |    68
                     |   5.1  |   3.2  |   6.3  |   9.5  |   6.1

  Wrong direction    |    56  |   117  |   123  |   103  |   399
                     |  35.7  |  37.4  |  37.0  |  33.7  |  36.0

  Some things righ   |    82  |   182  |   176  |   161  |   601
                     |  52.2  |  58.1  |  53.0  |  52.6  |  54.2

  DK/NA/Refused (D   |    11  |     4  |    12  |    13  |    40
                     |   7.0  |   1.3  |   3.6  |   4.2  |   3.6
                     ------------------------------------
          Column        157      313      332      306     1108
          Total        14.2     28.2     30.0     27.6    100.0
```

SMRS INC.

Crosstabulation: Q1 OVERALL DIRECTION OF LIFE IN B'DOS   By QV Highest level of education Completed

QV→ Count Col Pct	Primary	Secondary	Tech/Voc	University	DK/NA	Row Total
Q1						
Right direction	11 11.2	32 5.9	19 5.9	6 4.2		68 6.1
Wrong direction	33 33.7	204 37.6	122 38.0	37 26.1	3 60.0	399 36.0
Some things righ	49 50.0	283 52.2	171 53.3	97 68.3	1 20.0	601 54.2
DK/NA/Refused (D	5 5.1	23 4.2	9 2.8	2 1.4	1 20.0	40 3.6
Column Total	98 8.8	542 48.9	321 29.0	142 12.8	5 .5	1108 100.0

Crosstabulation: Q1   OVERALL DIRECTION OF LIFE IN B'DOS By Q18 Party more likely to support in next e

Q18→ Count Col Pct	DLP	BLP	Other party	DK/NA	Row Total
Q1					
Right direction	36 16.3	10 2.3	1 1.6	21 5.5	68 6.1
Wrong direction	49 22.2	209 47.4	34 54.8	107 27.9	399 36.0
Some things righ	129 58.4	208 47.2	24 38.7	240 62.5	601 54.2
DK/NA/Refused (D	7 3.2	14 3.2	3 4.8	16 4.2	40 3.6
Column Total	221 19.9	441 39.8	62 5.6	384 34.7	1108 100.0

Q2   **Barbados compared to five years ago**

One in five respondents feel that Barbados is either much better off (6%) or somewhat better off (14%) compared to five years ago, while well over half feel that Barbados is either somewhat worse off (36%) or much worse off (25%) compared to five years ago.

The views expressed are well spread across demographic groups, and this implies that the vast majority of respondents in the sample are saying that any governing entity will be faced with the expectation of the Barbadian population to turn things around.

```
Crosstabulation:    Q2      B'DOS COMPARED TO 5 YRS AGO              By QI      SEX OF RESPONDENT
       QI->   Count │Male     Female      Row
             Col Pct│                     Total
Q2            ──────┼
 Much better off    │   30       38         68
                    │  5.8      6.5        6.1
                    │
 Somewhat better    │   78       74        152
                    │ 15.0     12.6       13.7
                    │
 The same (DO NOT   │  103       99        202
                    │ 19.8     16.9       18.2
                    │
 Somewhat worse o   │  168      225        393
                    │ 32.2     38.3       35.5
                    │
 Much worse off     │  128      143        271
                    │ 24.6     24.4       24.5
                    │
 DK/NA/Refused (D   │   14        8         22
                    │  2.7      1.4        2.0
                    ┼
          Column      521      587       1108
           Total     47.0     53.0      100.0
```

Crosstabulation: Q2   B'DOS COMPARED TO 5 YRS AGO   By QII   AGE GROUP

QII→ Count Col Pct	16-24	25-39	40-55	55+	Row Total
Q2					
Much better off	6 3.8	14 4.5	20 6.0	28 9.2	68 6.1
Somewhat better	16 10.2	48 15.3	43 13.0	45 14.7	152 13.7
The same (DO NOT	32 20.4	44 14.1	63 19.0	63 20.6	202 18.2
Somewhat worse o	57 36.3	120 38.3	116 34.9	100 32.7	393 35.5
Much worse off	42 26.8	79 25.2	86 25.9	64 20.9	271 24.5
DK/NA/Refused (D	4 2.5	8 2.6	4 1.2	6 2.0	22 2.0
Column Total	157 14.2	313 28.2	332 30.0	306 27.6	1108 100.0

Crosstabulation: Q2   B'DOS COMPARED TO 5 YRS AGO   By QV   Highest level of education Completed

QV→ Count Col Pct	Primary	Secondary	Tech/Voc	University	DK/NA	Row Total
Q2						
Much better off	8 8.2	32 5.9	19 5.9	9 6.3		68 6.1
Somewhat better	11 11.2	71 13.1	48 15.0	22 15.5		152 13.7
The same (DO NOT	34 34.7	86 15.9	58 18.1	22 15.5	2 40.0	202 18.2
Somewhat worse o	27 27.6	201 37.1	113 35.2	51 35.9	1 20.0	393 35.5
Much worse off	17 17.3	143 26.4	78 24.3	32 22.5	1 20.0	271 24.5
DK/NA/Refused (D	1 1.0	9 1.7	5 1.6	6 4.2	1 20.0	22 2.0
Column Total	98 8.8	542 48.9	321 29.0	142 12.8	5 .5	1108 100.0

Crosstabulation: Q2   B'DOS COMPARED TO 5 YRS AGO   By Q18   Party more likely to support in next e

Q18–> Count Col Pct	DLP	BLP	Other party	DK/NA	Row Total
Q2					
Much better off	31 14.0	18 4.1	4 6.5	15 3.9	68 6.1
Somewhat better	52 23.5	31 7.0	4 6.5	65 16.9	152 13.7
The same (DO NOT	48 21.7	50 11.3	11 17.7	93 24.2	202 18.2
Somewhat worse o	64 29.0	181 41.0	18 29.0	130 33.9	393 35.5
Much worse off	23 10.4	159 36.1	25 40.3	64 16.7	271 24.5
DK/NA/Refused (D	3 1.4	2 .5		17 4.4	22 2.0
Column Total	221 19.9	441 39.8	62 5.6	384 34.7	1108 100.0

SMRS INC.

Q3   Personal situation compared to five years ago

One in four respondents feel that their situation is either much better off (6%) or somewhat better off (19%) compared to five years ago, while just under half feel that their situation is either somewhat worse off (26%) or much worse off (19%) compared to five years ago.

The views expressed are well spread across demographic groups, and this implies that individuals feel that their situation is not as bad as that for Barbados compared to five years ago. This might be influenced by the fact that they are more involved with the management of their own affairs.

```
Crosstabulation:    Q3      THINGS PERSONALLY COMPARED TO 5 YRS AGO     By QI      SEX OF RESPONDENT

        QI─>   Count │Male    │Female  │ Row
               Col Pct│        │        │ Total
Q3             ───────┼        │        │
  Much better  │       36│      35│      71
               │      6.9│     6.0│     6.4

  Somewhat better│     95│     120│     215
               │     18.2│    20.4│    19.4

  The same (DO NOT│    161│     158│     319
               │     30.9│    26.9│    28.8

  Somewhat worse │    132│     156│     288
               │     25.3│    26.6│    26.0

  Much worse    │      93│     112│     205
               │     17.9│    19.1│    18.5

  DK/NA/refused (D│     4│       6│      10
               │       .8│     1.0│      .9

        Column       521│     587│    1108
        Total       47.0│    53.0│   100.0
```

Crosstabulation: Q3   THINGS PERSONALLY COMPARED TO 5 YRS AGO   By QII   AGE GROUP

QII→ Q3	Count Col Pct	16-24	25-39	40-55	55+	Row Total
Much better		8 5.1	15 4.8	22 6.6	26 8.5	71 6.4
Somewhat better		31 19.7	61 19.5	60 18.1	63 20.6	215 19.4
The same (DO NOT		46 29.3	75 24.0	87 26.2	111 36.3	319 28.8
Somewhat worse		49 31.2	96 30.7	85 25.6	58 19.0	288 26.0
Much worse		21 13.4	65 20.8	74 22.3	45 14.7	205 18.5
DK/NA/refused (D		2 1.3	1 .3	4 1.2	3 1.0	10 .9
Column Total		157 14.2	313 28.2	332 30.0	306 27.6	1108 100.0

Crosstabulation: Q3   THINGS PERSONALLY COMPARED TO 5 YRS AGO   By QV   Highest level of education Completed

QV→ Q3	Count Col Pct	Primary	Secondary	Tech/Voc	University	DK/NA	Row Total
Much better		9 9.2	28 5.2	19 5.9	15 10.6		71 6.4
Somewhat better		17 17.3	98 18.1	66 20.6	33 23.2	1 20.0	215 19.4
The same (DO NOT		40 40.8	145 26.8	81 25.2	51 35.9	2 40.0	319 28.8
Somewhat worse		18 18.4	150 27.7	95 29.6	24 16.9	1 20.0	288 26.0
Much worse		12 12.2	118 21.8	58 18.1	17 12.0		205 18.5
DK/NA/refused (D		2 2.0	3 .6	2 .6	2 1.4	1 20.0	10 .9
Column Total		98 8.8	542 48.9	321 29.0	142 12.8	5 .5	1108 100.0

```
Crosstabulation:      Q3        THINGS PERSONALLY COMPARED TO 5 YRS AGO     By Q18      Party more likely to
support in next e
```

Q18--> Count Col Pct	DLP	BLP	Other party	DK/NA	Row Total
Q3					
Much better	28 12.7	19 4.3	3 4.8	21 5.5	71 6.4
Somewhat better	60 27.1	64 14.5	15 24.2	76 19.8	215 19.4
The same (DO NOT	68 30.8	98 22.2	11 17.7	142 37.0	319 28.8
Somewhat worse	51 23.1	137 31.1	15 24.2	85 22.1	288 26.0
Much worse	13 5.9	120 27.2	18 29.0	54 14.1	205 18.5
DK/NA/refused (D	1 .5	3 .7		6 1.6	10 .9
Column Total	221 19.9	441 39.8	62 5.6	384 34.7	1108 100.0

Q4   Score for Government performance since taking office

Half of the respondents (51%) gave a negative score to government (less than five out of ten) for its performance since taking office, while just under one in five (18%) gave a positive rating (seven or more out of ten). The mean performance score for this feature is 4.23.

```
Q4          Score out of ten to the Government for
                                        Valid     Cum
   Value Label           Value  Frequency  Percent  Percent  Percent

1                          1        203     18.3     19.0     19.0
2                          2        110      9.9     10.3     29.2
3                          3        101      9.1      9.4     38.7
4                          4        136     12.3     12.7     51.4
5                          5        225     20.3     21.0     72.4
6                          6        104      9.4      9.7     82.1
7                          7        100      9.0      9.3     91.4
8                          8         46      4.2      4.3     95.7
9                          9         16      1.4      1.5     97.2
10                        10         30      2.7      2.8    100.0
DK/NA                     11         37      3.3   MISSING
                                  -------  -------  -------
                         TOTAL     1108    100.0    100.0
Mean          4.231
```

Q5   Performance if BLP were in power

A third of the respondents (34%) feel that the BLP would have performed better if they were in power, while one in ten respondents (10%) feel that they would have performed worse, and almost four in ten feel that the performance would be the same.

```
Crosstabulation:      Q5           Performance If the BLP were in power      By QI        SEX OF RESPONDENT

        QI->    Count   |Male     |Female   |   Row
                Col Pct |         |         |   Total
Q5
  Better                |    170  |    201  |    371
                        |   32.6  |   34.2  |   33.5

  Same                  |    202  |    231  |    433
                        |   38.8  |   39.4  |   39.1

  Worse                 |     61  |     47  |    108
                        |   11.7  |    8.0  |    9.7

  DK/NA/Refused (D      |     88  |    108  |    196
                        |   16.9  |   18.4  |   17.7

            Column          521       587      1108
            Total          47.0      53.0     100.0
```

```
Crosstabulation:      Q5           Performance If the BLP were in power      By QII       AGE GROUP

        QII->   Count   |16-24  |25-39  |40-55  |55+    |   Row
                Col Pct |       |       |       |       |   Total
Q5
  Better                |    56 |   111 |   116 |    88 |    371
                        |  35.7 |  35.5 |  34.9 |  28.8 |   33.5

  Same                  |    63 |   128 |   125 |   117 |    433
                        |  40.1 |  40.9 |  37.7 |  38.2 |   39.1

  Worse                 |    12 |    14 |    38 |    44 |    108
                        |   7.6 |   4.5 |  11.4 |  14.4 |    9.7

  DK/NA/Refused (D      |    26 |    60 |    53 |    57 |    196
                        |  16.6 |  19.2 |  16.0 |  18.6 |   17.7

            Column         157     313     332     306     1108
            Total         14.2    28.2    30.0    27.6    100.0
```

Crosstabulation: Q5   Performance If the BLP were in power   By QV   Highest level of education Completed

QV—> Count Col Pct / Q5	Primary	Secondary	Tech/Voc	University	DK/NA	Row Total
Better	28 28.6	189 34.9	102 31.8	51 35.9	1 20.0	371 33.5
Same	33 33.7	211 38.9	135 42.1	51 35.9	3 60.0	433 39.1
Worse	15 15.3	59 10.9	23 7.2	11 7.7		108 9.7
DK/NA/Refused (D	22 22.4	83 15.3	61 19.0	29 20.4	1 20.0	196 17.7
Column Total	98 8.8	542 48.9	321 29.0	142 12.8	5 .5	1108 100.0

Crosstabulation: Q5   Performance If the BLP were in power   By Q18   Party more likely to support in next e

Q18—> Count Col Pct / Q5	DLP	BLP	Other party	DK/NA	Row Total
Better	15 6.8	288 65.3	14 22.6	54 14.1	371 33.5
Same	116 52.5	83 18.8	34 54.8	200 52.1	433 39.1
Worse	68 30.8	11 2.5	4 6.5	25 6.5	108 9.7
DK/NA/Refused (D	22 10.0	59 13.4	10 16.1	105 27.3	196 17.7
Column Total	221 19.9	441 39.8	62 5.6	384 34.7	1108 100.0

Q5a BLP ready to take Barbados forward

A third of the respondents (34%) feel that the BLP is ready to take Barbados forward on a path to success. A similar group (32%) do not feel that the BLP is ready to take Barbados forward on a path to success. Those who feel that the BLP is ready to take Barbados forward on a path to success, are found well spread by sex and age group, slightly higher among first time voters, those with post primary education, and those more likely to support the BLP in the next general elections.

```
Crosstabulation:      Q5A       Think BLP ready to take B'dos forward o     By QI      SEX OF RESPONDENT

       QI->    Count  | Male    | Female  | Row
               Col Pct|         |         | Total
Q5A                   |---------|---------|
  Yes                 |   170   |   205   |   375
                      |   32.6  |   34.9  |   33.8
                      |         |         |
  No                  |   191   |   158   |   349
                      |   36.7  |   26.9  |   31.5
                      |         |         |
  DK/NA (DO NOT RE    |   160   |   224   |   384
                      |   30.7  |   38.2  |   34.7
                      |---------|---------|
            Column        521       587      1108
             Total        47.0      53.0     100.0
```

```
Crosstabulation:      Q5A       Think BLP ready to take B'dos forward o     By QII     AGE GROUP

       QII->   Count  | 16-24   | 25-39   | 40-55   | 55+     | Row
               Col Pct|         |         |         |         | Total
Q5A                   |---------|---------|---------|---------|
  Yes                 |    72   |   107   |   113   |    83   |   375
                      |   45.9  |   34.2  |   34.0  |   27.1  |   33.8
                      |         |         |         |         |
  No                  |    25   |    97   |   110   |   117   |   349
                      |   15.9  |   31.0  |   33.1  |   38.2  |   31.5
                      |         |         |         |         |
  DK/NA (DO NOT RE    |    60   |   109   |   109   |   106   |   384
                      |   38.2  |   34.8  |   32.8  |   34.6  |   34.7
                      |---------|---------|---------|---------|
            Column       157       313       332       306      1108
             Total       14.2      28.2      30.0      27.6     100.0
```

Crosstabulation: Q5A   Think BLP ready to take B'dos forward o   By QV   Highest level of education Completed

QV→ Q5A	Count Col Pct	Primary	Secondary	Tech/Voc	University	DK/NA	Row Total
Yes		22 22.4	196 36.2	108 33.6	49 34.5		375 33.8
No		32 32.7	163 30.1	104 32.4	47 33.1	3 60.0	349 31.5
DK/NA (DO NOT RE		44 44.9	183 33.8	109 34.0	46 32.4	2 40.0	384 34.7
Column Total		98 8.8	542 48.9	321 29.0	142 12.8	5 .5	1108 100.0

Crosstabulation: Q5A   Think BLP ready to take B'dos forward o   By Q18   Party more likely to support in next e

Q18→ Q5A	Count Col Pct	DLP	BLP	Other party	DK/NA	Row Total
Yes		22 10.0	295 66.9	11 17.7	47 12.2	375 33.8
No		142 64.3	48 10.9	30 48.4	129 33.6	349 31.5
DK/NA (DO NOT RE		57 25.8	98 22.2	21 33.9	208 54.2	384 34.7
Column Total		221 19.9	441 39.8	62 5.6	384 34.7	1108 100.0

Q6   Most important problem facing Barbados today

Unemployment was mentioned as the most important problem facing Barbados today, and this was mentioned by a third of the respondents (33%).

This is not surprising as the sample mentioning this problem were found much higher among unemployed persons, well spread by sex, marginally higher among students and employed persons, the 16-55 years age group, and those with post primary education.

**This problem is one that should be a focal discussion point for any party contesting the next general election and this should be kept in the public domain and not left on the backburner until elections are imminent.**

Crime was mentioned as the second most important problem facing Barbados today, and this was mentioned by a fifth of the respondents (20%).

Respondents mentioning this problem were found well spread across demographic groups, but with a marginally higher level of concern among older, and retired persons, and those with primary level education.

**This problem should also be a focal discussion point for any party contesting the next general election and this should be kept in the public domain and not left on the backburner until elections are imminent.**

The economy was mentioned as the third most important problem facing Barbados today, and this was mentioned by just under a fifth of the respondents (18%).

Respondents mentioning this problem were found well spread across demographic groups.

**This problem should also be a focal discussion point for any party contesting the next general election and this should be kept in the public domain and not left on the backburner until elections are imminent.**

Other important problems with sizeable mention were as follows:

- Drugs   5%
- Water woes   4%
- Guns   4%
- Inflation   3%

Most important problem facing Barbados Today

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Crime	225	20.3	20.3	20.3
Drugs	55	5.0	5.0	25.3
Inflation	35	3.2	3.2	28.4
Unemployment	361	32.6	32.6	61.0
Guns	40	3.6	3.6	64.6
Gangs	8	.7	.7	65.3
Housing	15	1.4	1.4	66.7
Lack of Water	43	3.9	3.9	70.6
Poor roads	5	.5	.5	71.0
The economy	194	17.5	17.5	88.5
Education	8	.7	.7	89.3
Garbage collection	3	.3	.3	89.5
Other	116	10.5	10.5	100.0
Total	**1108**	**100.0**	**100.0**	

Q7   **Most important problem facing Individuals and Family today**

Unemployment was mentioned as the most important problem facing individuals and their families today, and this was mentioned by over a quarter of the respondents (28%).

This is not surprising as the sample mentioning this problem were found much higher among unemployed persons, and marginally higher among the 16-55 years age group, but well spread by sex, and education.

The economy was mentioned as the second most important problem facing individuals and their families today, and this was mentioned by just over a fifth of the respondents (22%).

Respondents mentioning this problem were found well spread across demographic groups.

Other important problems with sizeable mention were as follows:

- o   Inflation   7%
- o   Crime   6%
- o   Water woes   4%
- o   Housing   2%

SMRS INC.

Most important problem facing you/family today

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Crime	71	6.4	6.4	6.4
Drugs	14	1.3	1.3	7.7
Inflation	79	7.1	7.1	14.8
Unemployment	314	28.3	28.3	43.1
Guns	9	.8	.8	44.0
Gangs	7	.6	.6	44.6
Housing	27	2.4	2.4	47.0
Lack of water	47	4.2	4.2	51.3
Poor roads	17	1.5	1.5	52.8
The economy	243	21.9	21.9	74.7
Education	12	1.1	1.1	75.8
Garbage collection	10	.9	.9	76.7
Other	258	23.3	23.3	100.0
Total	**1108**	**100.0**	**100.0**	

Q8   Qualities expected of persons seeking Political Leadership

When asked about the key quality expected of persons seeking political leadership, about four in ten respondents (41%) mentioned 'Honesty', and mention of this quality was well spread across demographic groups.

The second largest individual group by a wide margin mentioned 'Integrity' (15%), and this mention was well spread across demographic groups.

The third largest individual group mentioned 'Compassion' (10%), and this mention was well spread across demographic groups.

The fourth largest individual group mentioned 'Morality' (9%), and this mention was also well spread across demographic groups.

Other key qualities mentioned by sizeable groups of individuals were as follows:

- o Humane   4%
- o Patriotism   4%

```
Crosstabulation:     Q8        Key Quality expected of persons seeking    By QI      SEX OF RESPONDENT

        QI->    Count |Male     |Female  |  Row
                Col Pct|        |        |  Total
Q8             --------+--------+--------+
  Honesty        |     219 |     242 |     461
                 |    42.0 |    41.2 |    41.6

  Integrity      |      82 |      89 |     171
                 |    15.7 |    15.2 |    15.4

  Morality       |      33 |      64 |      97
                 |     6.3 |    10.9 |     8.8

  Patriotism     |      27 |      16 |      43
                 |     5.2 |     2.7 |     3.9

  Compassion     |      53 |      56 |     109
                 |    10.2 |     9.5 |     9.8

  Humane         |      20 |      20 |      40
                 |     3.8 |     3.4 |     3.6

  Other          |      87 |     100 |     187
                 |    16.7 |    17.0 |    16.9
               --------+--------+--------+
        Column        521      587      1108
         Total       47.0     53.0    100.0
```

Crosstabulation: Q8   Key Quality expected of persons seeking   By QII   AGE GROUP

QII→ Count Col Pct	16-24	25-39	40-55	55+	Row Total
Q8					
Honesty	70 44.6	136 43.5	135 40.7	120 39.2	461 41.6
Integrity	29 18.5	29 9.3	53 16.0	60 19.6	171 15.4
Morality	13 8.3	26 8.3	33 9.9	25 8.2	97 8.8
Patriotism	3 1.9	15 4.8	15 4.5	10 3.3	43 3.9
Compassion	12 7.6	42 13.4	35 10.5	20 6.5	109 9.8
Humane	9 5.7	16 5.1	8 2.4	7 2.3	40 3.6
Other	21 13.4	49 15.7	53 16.0	64 20.9	187 16.9
Column Total	157 14.2	313 28.2	332 30.0	306 27.6	1108 100.0

Crosstabulation: Q8   Key Quality expected of persons seeking   By QIV   ECONOMIC ACTIVITY

QIV→ Count Col Pct	Student	Employed	Unemploy ed	Homemake r	Retired	Row Total
Q8						
Honesty	33 44.0	271 42.6	76 38.2	14 30.4	67 44.1	461 41.6
Integrity	14 18.7	99 15.6	26 13.1	6 13.0	26 17.1	171 15.4
Morality	7 9.3	53 8.3	22 11.1	9 19.6	6 3.9	97 8.8
Patriotism	5 6.7	23 3.6	6 3.0	1 2.2	8 5.3	43 3.9
Compassion	6 8.0	53 8.3	34 17.1	4 8.7	12 7.9	109 9.8
Humane	2 2.7	24 3.8	7 3.5		7 4.6	40 3.6
Other	8 10.7	113 17.8	28 14.1	12 26.1	26 17.1	187 16.9
Column Total	75 6.8	636 57.4	199 18.0	46 4.2	152 13.7	1108 100.0

Crosstabulation: Q8   Key Quality expected of persons seeking   By QV   Highest level of
education Completed

QV—> Count Col Pct	Primary	Secondary	Tech/Voc	University	DK/NA	Row Total
Q8						
Honesty	47 48.0	251 46.3	111 34.6	49 34.5	3 60.0	461 41.6
Integrity	16 16.3	83 15.3	45 14.0	26 18.3	1 20.0	171 15.4
Morality	3 3.1	48 8.9	32 10.0	14 9.9		97 8.8
Patriotism	2 2.0	20 3.7	17 5.3	4 2.8		43 3.9
Compassion	10 10.2	51 9.4	36 11.2	12 8.5		109 9.8
Humane	2 2.0	16 3.0	19 5.9	3 2.1		40 3.6
Other	18 18.4	73 13.5	61 19.0	34 23.9	1 20.0	187 16.9
Column Total	98 8.8	542 48.9	321 29.0	142 12.8	5 .5	1108 100.0

SMRS INC.

Q9   Person best suited to lead Barbados

When asked about the person respondents felt was best suited to lead Barbados, the person mentioned most was Mia Mottley (21%), followed by Freundel Stuart (17%), Owen Arthur (8%), Chris Sinckler (2%), Dale Marshall (1%), Richard Sealy (1%), and Donville Inniss (1%).

Other individuals were mentioned but by smaller numbers of respondents.

A small group of the respondents (4%) said they did not know or could not think of such a person.

Other persons considered as future leaders for Barbados were pretty much the same list of persons mentioned to be suitable leaders.

Q10   Person best suited to lead the DLP

When asked about the person respondents felt was best suited to lead the DLP, the person mentioned most was Freundel Stuart (29%), followed by Richard Sealy (1%), and Chris Sinckler (1%).

Several other individuals were mentioned but by smaller numbers of respondents.

The largest individual group of respondents (41%) said they did not know or could not think of such a person.

Other persons considered as future leaders for the DLP were pretty much the same list of persons mentioned to be suitable leaders.

Q11   Person best suited to lead the BLP

When asked about the person respondents felt was best suited to lead the BLP, the person mentioned most was Mia Mottley (40%), followed by Owen Arthur (15%), and Dale Marshall (3%).

Several other individuals were mentioned but by smaller numbers of respondents.

A small group of the respondents (4%) said they did not know or could not think of such a person.

Other persons considered as future leaders for the BLP were pretty much the same list of persons mentioned to be suitable leaders.

SMRS INC.

Q12   Position of Prime Minister and Minister of Finance being held by the same person

When asked about their opinion on the position of Prime Minister and Minister of Finance being held by the same individual, about a third of the respondents (32%) were in favor, while under half (46%) felt that both positions should not be held by the same individual.

One in five respondents (19%) said that for them it did not matter.

Those in favor of the position were well spread across demographic groups.

Those not in favor of the position were well spread across demographic groups.

```
Crosstabulation:     Q12          Should the Prime Minister & Minister o     By QI       SEX OF RESPONDENT

      QI->    Count  |Male    |Female  |  Row
              Col Pct |        |        |  Total
Q12                   ‾‾‾‾‾‾‾‾‾‾‾‾‾‾‾‾‾‾‾
  Yes                     185      171       356
                        35.5     29.1      32.1

  No                      222      284       506
                        42.6     48.4      45.7

  Does not matter         100      110       210
                        19.2     18.7      19.0

  DK/NA (DO NOT RE         14       22        36
                         2.7      3.7       3.2

              Column     521      587      1108
              Total     47.0     53.0     100.0
```

```
Crosstabulation:     Q12          Should the Prime Minister & Minister o     By QII      AGE GROUP

      QII->   Count  |16-24   |25-39   |40-55   |55+     |  Row
              Col Pct |        |        |        |        |  Total
Q12                   ‾‾‾‾‾‾‾‾‾‾‾‾‾‾‾‾‾‾‾‾‾‾‾‾‾‾‾‾‾‾‾‾
  Yes                      28       94      117      117       356
                        17.8     30.0     35.2     38.2      32.1

  No                       81      158      144      123       506
                        51.6     50.5     43.4     40.2      45.7

  Does not matter          37       54       64       55       210
                        23.6     17.3     19.3     18.0      19.0

  DK/NA (DO NOT RE         11        7        7       11        36
                         7.0      2.2      2.1      3.6       3.2

              Column     157      313      332      306      1108
              Total     14.2     28.2     30.0     27.6     100.0
```

Crosstabulation: Q12 Should the Prime Minister & Minister o   By QV   Highest level of education
Completed

QV—> Count Col Pct	Primary	Secondary	Tech/Voc	University	DK/NA	Row Total
Q12						
Yes	35 35.7	166 30.6	110 34.3	43 30.3	2 40.0	356 32.1
No	43 43.9	255 47.0	138 43.0	69 48.6	1 20.0	506 45.7
Does not matter	17 17.3	104 19.2	61 19.0	27 19.0	1 20.0	210 19.0
DK/NA (DO NOT RE	3 3.1	17 3.1	12 3.7	3 2.1	1 20.0	36 3.2
Column Total	98 8.8	542 48.9	321 29.0	142 12.8	5 .5	1108 100.0

Crosstabulation: Q12 Should the Prime Minister & Minister o   By Q18 Party more likely to support in
next e

Q18—> Count Col Pct	DLP	BLP	Other party	DK/NA	Row Total
Q12					
Yes	78 35.3	151 34.2	13 21.0	114 29.7	356 32.1
No	99 44.8	225 51.0	32 51.6	150 39.1	506 45.7
Does not matter	39 17.6	58 13.2	14 22.6	99 25.8	210 19.0
DK/NA (DO NOT RE	5 2.3	7 1.6	3 4.8	21 5.5	36 3.2
Column Total	221 19.9	441 39.8	62 5.6	384 34.7	1108 100.0

Q13   Government Performance Scores on a set of issues

Technical note: *Scores of seven or more out of ten are considered positive, while scores less than five out of ten are considered negative, and scores of five and six are considered moderate.*

Q13a   Score for Government performance in handling problem of Crime

Just under half of the respondents (46%) gave a negative score to government for its handling of crime, while just under a quarter (23%) gave a positive rating. The mean performance score for this feature is 4.53.

```
Q13A        Score out of ten for Government handling Crime
                                              Valid      Cum
  Value Label           Value  Frequency  Percent  Percent  Percent

1                         1       175      15.8     16.0     16.0
2                         2       104       9.4      9.5     25.5
3                         3       105       9.5      9.6     35.2
4                         4       115      10.4     10.5     45.7
5                         5       224      20.2     20.5     66.2
6                         6       120      10.8     11.0     77.2
7                         7       115      10.4     10.5     87.7
8                         8        84       7.6      7.7     95.4
9                         9        26       2.3      2.4     97.8
10                       10        24       2.2      2.2    100.0
DK/NA                    11        16       1.4    MISSING
                               -------   -------  -------
                    TOTAL        1108     100.0    100.0
Mean         4.532
```

Q13b   Score for Government performance in handling problem of Drugs

Four in ten of the respondents (41%) gave a negative score to government for its handling of drugs, while just under a quarter (23%) gave a positive rating. The mean performance score for this feature is 4.88.

```
Q13B        Score out of ten for Government handling Drugs
                                              Valid      Cum
  Value Label           Value  Frequency  Percent  Percent  Percent

1                         1       148      13.4     13.7     13.7
2                         2       103       9.3      9.5     23.2
3                         3        93       8.4      8.6     31.7
4                         4       105       9.5      9.7     41.4
5                         5       194      17.5     17.9     59.3
6                         6       129      11.6     11.9     71.2
7                         7       114      10.3     10.5     81.7
8                         8       121      10.9     11.2     92.9
9                         9        39       3.5      3.6     96.5
10                       10        38       3.4      3.5    100.0
DK/NA                    11        24       2.2    MISSING
                               -------   -------  -------
                    TOTAL        1108     100.0    100.0
Mean         4.884
```

Q13c   Score for Government performance in handling problem of Unemployment

Three quarters of the respondents (75%) gave a negative score to government for its handling of unemployment, while a very small group (7%) gave a positive rating. The mean performance score for this feature is 3.12.

```
Q13C        Score out of ten for Government handling unemployment

                                             Valid      Cum
  Value Label           Value  Frequency  Percent  Percent  Percent

1                          1        336     30.3     30.9     30.9
2                          2        171     15.4     15.7     46.6
3                          3        157     14.2     14.4     61.1
4                          4        156     14.1     14.4     75.4
5                          5        138     12.5     12.7     88.1
6                          6         52      4.7      4.8     92.9
7                          7         36      3.2      3.3     96.2
8                          8         21      1.9      1.9     98.2
9                          9          7       .6       .6     98.8
10                        10         13      1.2      1.2    100.0
DK/NA                     11         21      1.9   MISSING
                                  -------  -------  -------
                        TOTAL      1108    100.0    100.0
Mean          3.117
```

Q13d   Score for Government performance in handling of the Economy

Almost two thirds of the respondents (65%) gave a negative score to government for its handling of the economy, while 13% gave a positive rating. The mean performance score for this feature is 3.57.

```
Q13D        Score out of ten for Government handling the economy

                                             Valid      Cum
  Value Label           Value  Frequency  Percent  Percent  Percent

1                          1        324     29.2     30.1     30.1
2                          2        127     11.5     11.8     41.8
3                          3        119     10.7     11.0     52.9
4                          4        125     11.3     11.6     64.5
5                          5        156     14.1     14.5     78.9
6                          6         89      8.0      8.3     87.2
7                          7         62      5.6      5.8     92.9
8                          8         40      3.6      3.7     96.7
9                          9         18      1.6      1.7     98.3
10                        10         18      1.6      1.7    100.0
DK/NA                     11         30      2.7   MISSING
                                  -------  -------  -------
                        TOTAL      1108    100.0    100.0
Mean          3.567
```

Q13e   Score for Government performance in handling of the problem with Water Resources

Over half of the respondents (59%) gave a negative score to government for its handling of water resources, while 18% gave a positive rating. The mean performance score for this feature is 4.10.

```
Q13E       Score out of ten for Government handling water resources
                                            Valid     Cum
  Value Label            Value  Frequency  Percent  Percent  Percent

1                          1       207      18.7     19.2     19.2
2                          2       135      12.2     12.5     31.7
3                          3       124      11.2     11.5     43.1
4                          4       166      15.0     15.4     58.5
5                          5       159      14.4     14.7     73.2
6                          6        99       8.9      9.2     82.4
7                          7        73       6.6      6.8     89.2
8                          8        70       6.3      6.5     95.6
9                          9        13       1.2      1.2     96.9
10                        10        34       3.1      3.1    100.0
DK/NA                     11        28       2.5   MISSING
                                 -------   -------  -------
                         TOTAL    1108     100.0    100.0
Mean          4.102
```

Q13f   Score for Government performance in handling of the problem with Garbage Collection

Almost four in ten of the respondents (39%) gave a negative score to government for its handling of garbage collection, while 29% gave a positive rating. The mean performance score for this feature is 5.07.

```
Q13F       Score out of ten for Government handling garbage collection
                                            Valid     Cum
  Value Label            Value  Frequency  Percent  Percent  Percent

1                          1       122      11.0     11.2     11.2
2                          2        78       7.0      7.2     18.3
3                          3       104       9.4      9.5     27.9
4                          4       124      11.2     11.4     39.3
5                          5       194      17.5     17.8     57.1
6                          6       154      13.9     14.1     71.2
7                          7       114      10.3     10.5     81.7
8                          8       112      10.1     10.3     91.9
9                          9        25       2.3      2.3     94.2
10                        10        63       5.7      5.8    100.0
DK/NA                     11        18       1.6   MISSING
                                 -------   -------  -------
                         TOTAL    1108     100.0    100.0
Mean          5.072
```

Q13g   *Score for Government performance in handling issues of education*

One in five of the respondents (21%) gave a negative score to government for its handling of education, while almost half (49%) gave a positive rating. The mean performance score for this feature is 6.26.

```
Q13G       Score out of ten for Government handling education
                                            Valid      Cum
  Value Label             Value  Frequency  Percent  Percent  Percent

1                            1        61       5.5      5.6      5.6
2                            2        51       4.6      4.6     10.2
3                            3        46       4.2      4.2     14.4
4                            4        73       6.6      6.6     21.0
5                            5       174      15.7     15.8     36.9
6                            6       158      14.3     14.4     51.3
7                            7       150      13.5     13.7     64.9
8                            8       178      16.1     16.2     81.1
9                            9        77       6.9      7.0     88.2
10                          10       130      11.7     11.8    100.0
DK/NA                       11        10        .9   MISSING
                                   -------  -------  -------
                         TOTAL      1108     100.0    100.0

Mean          6.264
```

Q14   Effect of the Maria Agard issue on attitude towards BLP

Respondents were asked to indicate the effect the Maria Agard issue had on their attitude towards the BLP.

A very small group (4%) said they felt more favourable towards the BLP, while a much larger group (21%) said they felt less favourable towards the BLP.

The largest individual group (60%) said it did not have any effect on them, and 14% did not answer.

Fieldwork in four of the constituencies (St. Michael North, St. George North, St. Joseph, and St. James North), was conducted after the announcement that Maria Agard was granted leave from Parliament.

The following analysis is being done to see if there was any noticeable difference in the effect of the issue in these constituencies.

It should be noted that even if there seems to be a difference from the overall effect there is no statistical basis for any conclusion among these constituencies.

Maria Agard issue by specific constituencies (%)				
ST. MICHAEL N	More	Less	No effect	N/A
DA1	42	17	17	25
DB1	0	0	75	25
DD2	8	50	25	17
ST. GEORGE N				
GF1	0	25	58	17
GE2	0	42	50	8
GH1	0	42	33	25
ST. JOSEPH				
OA1	0	8	83	8
OB1	0	25	67	8
OD2	0	17	75	8
ST. JAMES N				
SA2	50	8	42	0
SB1	0	8	92	0
SD1	0	25	33	42
Constituencies	**8**	**23**	**54**	**15**
Overall	**4**	**21**	**60**	**14**

Persons who did not say more favorable was 54% before and 72% after.

```
Crosstabulation:     Q14      Maria Agard issue affected your attitud   By QI      SEX OF RESPONDENT

      QI—>    Count │Male   │Female │  Row
              Col Pct│       │       │  Total
Q14           ─────────────────────────
  More favourable     22       27       49
                     4.2      4.6      4.4

  Less favourable    125      108      233
                     24.0     18.4     21.0

  No effect          303      366      669
                     58.2     62.4     60.4

  DK/NA               71       86      157
                     13.6     14.7     14.2
                     ─────────────────
          Column     521      587     1108
           Total     47.0     53.0    100.0
```

```
Crosstabulation:     Q14      Maria Agard issue affected your attitud   By QII     AGE GROUP

     QII—>    Count │16-24  │25-39  │40-55  │55+    │  Row
              Col Pct│       │       │       │       │  Total
Q14           ─────────────────────────────────────
  More favourable     12       12       13       12       49
                     7.6      3.8      3.9      3.9      4.4

  Less favourable     20       60       79       74      233
                     12.7     19.2     23.8     24.2     21.0

  No effect           96      197      193      183      669
                     61.1     62.9     58.1     59.8     60.4

  DK/NA               29       44       47       37      157
                     18.5     14.1     14.2     12.1     14.2
                     ─────────────────────────────
          Column     157      313      332      306     1108
           Total     14.2     28.2     30.0     27.6    100.0
```

Crosstabulation: Q14 Maria Agard issue affected your attitud   By QV   Highest level of education Completed

QV—> Count Col Pct Q14	Primary	Secondary	Tech/Voc	University	DK/NA	Row Total
More favourable	1 1.0	28 5.2	13 4.0	7 4.9		49 4.4
Less favourable	23 23.5	90 16.6	84 26.2	35 24.6	1 20.0	233 21.0
No effect	55 56.1	336 62.0	186 57.9	89 62.7	3 60.0	669 60.4
DK/NA	19 19.4	88 16.2	38 11.8	11 7.7	1 20.0	157 14.2
Column Total	98 8.8	542 48.9	321 29.0	142 12.8	5 .5	1108 100.0

Crosstabulation: Q14   Maria Agard issue affected your attitud   By Q18   Party more likely to support in next e

Q18—> Count Col Pct Q14	DLP	BLP	Other party	DK/NA	Row Total
More favourable	5 2.3	42 9.5	1 1.6	1 .3	49 4.4
Less favourable	80 36.2	63 14.3	17 27.4	73 19.0	233 21.0
No effect	117 52.9	286 64.9	35 56.5	231 60.2	669 60.4
DK/NA	19 8.6	50 11.3	9 14.5	79 20.6	157 14.2
Column Total	221 19.9	441 39.8	62 5.6	384 34.7	1108 100.0

Q15   Owen Arthur return to active involvement in the BLP

Just over four in ten respondents (44%) would like to see Owen Arthur return to active involvement in the BLP, while a similar group (41%) said they would not like to see him return to active involvement in the BLP. Those who wished for his return were found well spread across demographic groups, and especially so among those who would support the BLP in the next elections, and first time voters.

Those who have no wish for his return were found well spread across demographic groups, and especially so among those who would support the DLP in the next elections.

The number of individuals wanting to see Mr. Arthur return is a significant observation, and the potential coat tail support across the board could be a deal breaker for the outcome of elections should that become an issue.

SUPPORT FOR OWEN ARTHUR RETURN AND VOTER INTENTION (%)		
Party	*Overall*	*Arthur return*
DLP	*20*	*32*
BLP	*40*	*56*
DK/NA	*35*	*36*

```
Crosstabulation:      Q15         Like to see Owen Arthur return to bein     By QI        SEX OF RESPONDENT

        QI->     Count  |Male    |Female  |  Row
                 Col Pct |        |        | Total
Q15
  Yes                    |    222 |    260 |    482
                         |   42.6 |   44.3 |   43.5

  No                     |    226 |    223 |    449
                         |   43.4 |   38.0 |   40.5

  DK/NA                  |     73 |    104 |    177
                         |   14.0 |   17.7 |   16.0

               Column        521      587     1108
               Total        47.0     53.0    100.0
```

Crosstabulation: Q15   Like to see Owen Arthur return to bein   By QII   AGE GROUP

QII→ Q15	Count Col Pct	16-24	25-39	40-55	55+	Row Total
Yes		73 46.5	136 43.5	146 44.0	127 41.5	482 43.5
No		52 33.1	125 39.9	141 42.5	131 42.8	449 40.5
DK/NA		32 20.4	52 16.6	45 13.6	48 15.7	177 16.0
	Column Total	157 14.2	313 28.2	332 30.0	306 27.6	1108 100.0

Crosstabulation: Q15   Like to see Owen Arthur return to bein   By QV   Highest level of
education Completed

QV→ Q15	Count Col Pct	Primary	Secondary	Tech/Voc	University	DK/NA	Row Total
Yes		42 42.9	248 45.8	137 42.7	55 38.7		482 43.5
No		40 40.8	211 38.9	135 42.1	61 43.0	2 40.0	449 40.5
DK/NA		16 16.3	83 15.3	49 15.3	26 18.3	3 60.0	177 16.0
	Column Total	98 8.8	542 48.9	321 29.0	142 12.8	5 .5	1108 100.0

Crosstabulation: Q15   Like to see Owen Arthur return to bein   By Q18   Party more likely to
support in next e

Q18→ Q15	Count Col Pct	DLP	BLP	Other party	DK/NA	Row Total
Yes		71 32.1	248 56.2	26 41.9	137 35.7	482 43.5
No		109 49.3	161 36.5	29 46.8	150 39.1	449 40.5
DK/NA		41 18.6	32 7.3	7 11.3	97 25.3	177 16.0
	Column Total	221 19.9	441 39.8	62 5.6	384 34.7	1108 100.0

Q16 A new political party for next elections

A third of the respondents (34%) said they would like to see a new political party contest the next general

election, while just over half (54%) said they would not like such to happen.

Those in favor of a new political party were found well spread by sex, and education, and more among those in

the under 55 years age group.

```
Crosstabulation:    Q16        Like to see a new political party cont     By QI        SEX OF RESPONDENT

     QI->    Count  |Male    |Female  |  Row
             Col Pct|        |        |  Total
Q16                 |_____|_____|
  Yes        |           178 |    193 |    371
             |          34.2 |   32.9 |   33.5
                     |        |        |
  No         |           274 |    321 |    595
             |          52.6 |   54.7 |   53.7
                     |        |        |
  DK/NA      |            69 |     73 |    142
             |          13.2 |   12.4 |   12.8
                     |_____|_____|
             Column      521      587     1108
              Total     47.0     53.0    100.0
```

```
Crosstabulation:    Q16        Like to see a new political party cont     By QII       AGE GROUP

    QII->    Count  |16-24   |25-39   |40-55   |55+     |  Row
             Col Pct|        |        |        |        |  Total
Q16                 |_____|_____|_____|_____|
  Yes        |            67 |    119 |    110 |     75 |    371
             |          42.7 |   38.0 |   33.1 |   24.5 |   33.5
                     |        |        |        |        |
  No         |            68 |    151 |    188 |    188 |    595
             |          43.3 |   48.2 |   56.6 |   61.4 |   53.7
                     |        |        |        |        |
  DK/NA      |            22 |     43 |     34 |     43 |    142
             |          14.0 |   13.7 |   10.2 |   14.1 |   12.8
                     |_____|_____|_____|_____|
             Column      157      313      332      306     1108
              Total     14.2     28.2     30.0     27.6    100.0
```

SMRS INC.

Crosstabulation: Q16   Like to see a new political party cont   By QV   Highest level of
education Completed

QV—> Count Col Pct	Primary	Secondary	Tech/Voc	University	DK/NA	Row Total
Q16						
Yes	30 30.6	172 31.7	103 32.1	63 44.4	3 60.0	371 33.5
No	57 58.2	298 55.0	171 53.3	68 47.9	1 20.0	595 53.7
DK/NA	11 11.2	72 13.3	47 14.6	11 7.7	1 20.0	142 12.8
Column Total	98 8.8	542 48.9	321 29.0	142 12.8	5 .5	1108 100.0

Crosstabulation: Q16   Like to see a new political party cont   By Q18   Party more likely to
support in next e

Q18—> Count Col Pct	DLP	BLP	Other party	DK/NA	Row Total
Q16					
Yes	59 26.7	122 27.7	51 82.3	139 36.2	371 33.5
No	143 64.7	284 64.4	6 9.7	162 42.2	595 53.7
DK/NA	19 8.6	35 7.9	5 8.1	83 21.6	142 12.8
Column Total	221 19.9	441 39.8	62 5.6	384 34.7	1108 100.0

Q17   A change of government at next elections

Well over half of the respondents (62%) said they would like to have a change of government when next elections are held, and these respondents were found well spread by sex, but higher among the 16-55 years age group, those with post-primary education and those who would support the BLP in the next election.

One in five of the respondents (21%) would not like to see a change of government in the next elections.

```
Crosstabulation:     Q17         Like to see a change of government in    By QI      SEX OF RESPONDENT

       QI->    Count  |Male    |Female  |  Row
               Col Pct|        |        |  Total
Q17
  Yes                 |    311 |    373 |   684
                      |   59.7 |   63.5 |  61.7

  No                  |    123 |    113 |   236
                      |   23.6 |   19.3 |  21.3

  DK/NA               |     87 |    101 |   188
                      |   16.7 |   17.2 |  17.0

             Column       521      587     1108
              Total       47.0     53.0    100.0
```

```
Crosstabulation:     Q17         Like to see a change of government in    By QII     AGE GROUP

      QII->    Count  |16-24  |25-39  |40-55  |55+    |  Row
               Col Pct|       |       |       |       |  Total
Q17
  Yes                 |   111 |   213 |   202 |   158 |   684
                      |  70.7 |  68.1 |  60.8 |  51.6 |  61.7

  No                  |    18 |    42 |    78 |    98 |   236
                      |  11.5 |  13.4 |  23.5 |  32.0 |  21.3

  DK/NA               |    28 |    58 |    52 |    50 |   188
                      |  17.8 |  18.5 |  15.7 |  16.3 |  17.0

             Column      157     313     332     306     1108
              Total      14.2    28.2    30.0    27.6    100.0
```

SMRS INC.

```
Crosstabulation:    Q17         Like to see a change of government in    By QV      Highest level of
education Completed
```

QV→ Count Col Pct	Primary	Secondary	Tech/Voc	University	DK/NA	Row Total
Q17						
Yes	51 52.0	342 63.1	200 62.3	89 62.7	2 40.0	684 61.7
No	28 28.6	127 23.4	55 17.1	24 16.9	2 40.0	236 21.3
DK/NA	19 19.4	73 13.5	66 20.6	29 20.4	1 20.0	188 17.0
Column Total	98 8.8	542 48.9	321 29.0	142 12.8	5 .5	1108 100.0

```
Crosstabulation:    Q17         Like to see a change of government in    By Q18     Party more likely to
support in next e
```

Q18→ Count Col Pct	DLP	BLP	Other party	DK/NA	Row Total
Q17					
Yes	32 14.5	416 94.3	52 83.9	184 47.9	684 61.7
No	162 73.3	14 3.2	4 6.5	56 14.6	236 21.3
DK/NA	27 12.2	11 2.5	6 9.7	144 37.5	188 17.0
Column Total	221 19.9	441 39.8	62 5.6	384 34.7	1108 100.0

Q18   Party for support at next elections

One in five respondents (20%) said they would support the DLP if elections were held soon and they voted.

Support for the BLP is twice as much (40%).

There is however a sizeable group (34%) who did not say which party they would support.

Support for the BLP is found well spread by sex, but higher among the 16-55 years age group, and those with post primary education.

```
Crosstabulation:    Q18         Party more likely to support in next e     By QI        SEX OF RESPONDENT

       QI->    Count   |Male    |Female  |  Row
               Col Pct |        |        |  Total
Q18                    |        |        |
  DLP          |    113 |    108 |    221
               |   21.7 |   18.4 |   19.9
                       |        |        |
  BLP          |    199 |    242 |    441
               |   38.2 |   41.2 |   39.8
                       |        |        |
  Other party  |     31 |     31 |     62
               |    6.0 |    5.3 |    5.6
                       |        |        |
  DK/NA        |    178 |    206 |    384
               |   34.2 |   35.1 |   34.7
                       |        |        |
         Column     521      587     1108
         Total      47.0     53.0    100.0
```

```
Crosstabulation:    Q18         Party more likely to support in next e     By QII       AGE GROUP

       QII->   Count   |16-24   |25-39   |40-55   |55+     |  Row
               Col Pct |        |        |        |        |  Total
Q18                    |        |        |        |        |
  DLP          |     31 |     37 |     69 |     84 |    221
               |   19.7 |   11.8 |   20.8 |   27.5 |   19.9
                       |        |        |        |        |
  BLP          |     64 |    141 |    137 |     99 |    441
               |   40.8 |   45.0 |   41.3 |   32.4 |   39.8
                       |        |        |        |        |
  Other party  |     12 |     20 |     16 |     14 |     62
               |    7.6 |    6.4 |    4.8 |    4.6 |    5.6
                       |        |        |        |        |
  DK/NA        |     50 |    115 |    110 |    109 |    384
               |   31.8 |   36.7 |   33.1 |   35.6 |   34.7
                       |        |        |        |        |
         Column     157      313      332      306     1108
         Total      14.2     28.2     30.0     27.6    100.0
```

```
Crosstabulation:      Q18 Party more likely to support in next e     By QV  Highest level of education Completed
```

QV→ Count Col Pct	Primary	Secondary	Tech/Voc	University	DK/NA	Row Total
Q18						
DLP	26	114	61	19	1	221
	26.5	21.0	19.0	13.4	20.0	19.9
BLP	30	226	131	54		441
	30.6	41.7	40.8	38.0		39.8
Other party	7	26	18	10	1	62
	7.1	4.8	5.6	7.0	20.0	5.6
DK/NA	35	176	111	59	3	384
	35.7	32.5	34.6	41.5	60.0	34.7
Column Total	98	542	321	142	5	1108
	8.8	48.9	29.0	12.8	.5	100.0

Q18a Party to win next elections

When asked which party they think would win the next election, one in seven of the respondents (16%) felt the DLP would win, while a much larger group (46%) felt the BLP would win.

There is however a sizeable group (36%) who did not say which party they felt would win.

Those who felt the BLP would win were found well spread by sex, but higher among those in the 16-55 years age group, those with post primary education, and those who would support the BLP.

```
Crosstabulation:      Q18A        Party do you think will win next elect      By QI        SEX OF RESPONDENT

        QI->    Count  | Male   | Female |  Row
                Col Pct|        |        |  Total
Q18A          ---------+--------+--------+
  DLP                  |    91  |    90  |   181
                       |  17.5  |  15.3  |  16.3
                       +--------+--------+
  BLP                  |   233  |   275  |   508
                       |  44.7  |  46.8  |  45.8
                       +--------+--------+
  Other party          |    15  |     9  |    24
                       |   2.9  |   1.5  |   2.2
                       +--------+--------+
  DK/NA                |   182  |   213  |   395
                       |  34.9  |  36.3  |  35.6
                       +--------+--------+
               Column      521      587     1108
               Total      47.0     53.0    100.0


Crosstabulation:      Q18A        Party do you think will win next elect      By QII        AGE GROUP

       QII->    Count  | 16-24  | 25-39  | 40-55  | 55+    |  Row
                Col Pct|        |        |        |        |  Total
Q18A          ---------+--------+--------+--------+--------+
  DLP                  |    19  |    38  |    47  |    77  |   181
                       |  12.1  |  12.1  |  14.2  |  25.2  |  16.3
                       +--------+--------+--------+--------+
  BLP                  |    82  |   159  |   161  |   106  |   508
                       |  52.2  |  50.8  |  48.5  |  34.6  |  45.8
                       +--------+--------+--------+--------+
  Other party          |     8  |     8  |     5  |     3  |    24
                       |   5.1  |   2.6  |   1.5  |   1.0  |   2.2
                       +--------+--------+--------+--------+
  DK/NA                |    48  |   108  |   119  |   120  |   395
                       |  30.6  |  34.5  |  35.8  |  39.2  |  35.6
                       +--------+--------+--------+--------+
               Column      157      313      332      306     1108
               Total      14.2     28.2     30.0     27.6    100.0
```

Crosstabulation: Q18A   Party do you think will win next elect   By QV   Highest level of education
Completed

QV--> Q18A	Count Col Pct	Primary	Secondary	Tech/Voc	University	DK/NA	Row Total
DLP		22 22.4	89 16.4	47 14.6	21 14.8	2 40.0	181 16.3
BLP		35 35.7	263 48.5	142 44.2	68 47.9		508 45.8
Other party		1 1.0	15 2.8	7 2.2	1 .7		24 2.2
DK/NA		40 40.8	175 32.3	125 38.9	52 36.6	3 60.0	395 35.6
Column Total		98 8.8	542 48.9	321 29.0	142 12.8	5 .5	1108 100.0

Crosstabulation: Q18A   Party do you think will win next elect   By Q18 Party more likely to support in
next e

Q18--> Q18A	Count Col Pct	DLP	BLP	Other party	DK/NA	Row Total
DLP		132 59.7	13 2.9	4 6.5	32 8.3	181 16.3
BLP		43 19.5	359 81.4	19 30.6	87 22.7	508 45.8
Other party			1 .2	13 21.0	10 2.6	24 2.2
DK/NA		46 20.8	68 15.4	26 41.9	255 66.4	395 35.6
Column Total		221 19.9	441 39.8	62 5.6	384 34.7	1108 100.0

Q19   Party voted for in last election

When asked which party they voted for in the last election, one third (34%) said they voted for the DLP, while a quarter (26%) said they voted for the BLP.

There is however a sizeable group (37%) who did not say which party they voted for or did not vote then.

It seems that a sizeable portion of those who voted for the DLP last election are likely to support the BLP in the next election.

```
Crosstabulation:     Q19       Party voted for in last election        By QI      SEX OF RESPONDENT
```

QI–> Count Col Pct	Male	Female	Row Total
Q19			
DLP	184 35.3	195 33.2	379 34.2
BLP	129 24.8	156 26.6	285 25.7
Other party	22 4.2	12 2.0	34 3.1
N/A Did not vo	186 35.7	224 38.2	410 37.0
Column Total	521 47.0	587 53.0	1108 100.0

```
Crosstabulation:     Q19       Party voted for in last election        By QII     AGE GROUP
```

QII–> Count Col Pct	16-24	25-39	40-55	55+	Row Total
Q19					
DLP	36 22.9	97 31.0	115 34.6	131 42.8	379 34.2
BLP	27 17.2	85 27.2	94 28.3	79 25.8	285 25.7
Other party	5 3.2	15 4.8	7 2.1	7 2.3	34 3.1
N/A Did not vo	89 56.7	116 37.1	116 34.9	89 29.1	410 37.0
Column Total	157 14.2	313 28.2	332 30.0	306 27.6	1108 100.0

```
Crosstabulation:    Q19      Party voted for in last election        By QV       Highest level of
education Completed
```

QV-> Count Col Pct Q19	Primary	Secondary	Tech/Voc	University	DK/NA	Row Total
DLP	33 33.7	192 35.4	111 34.6	41 28.9	2 40.0	379 34.2
BLP	28 28.6	126 23.2	92 28.7	39 27.5		285 25.7
Other party		19 3.5	11 3.4	4 2.8		34 3.1
N/A Did not vo	37 37.8	205 37.8	107 33.3	58 40.8	3 60.0	410 37.0
Column Total	98 8.8	542 48.9	321 29.0	142 12.8	5 .5	1108 100.0

```
Crosstabulation:    Q19      Party voted for in last election        By Q18      Party more likely to
support in next e
```

Q18-> Count Col Pct Q19	DLP	BLP	Other party	DK/NA	Row Total
DLP	178 80.5	92 20.9	14 22.6	95 24.7	379 34.2
BLP	6 2.7	238 54.0	9 14.5	32 8.3	285 25.7
Other party	2 .9	18 4.1	6 9.7	8 2.1	34 3.1
N/A Did not vo	35 15.8	93 21.1	33 53.2	249 64.8	410 37.0
Column Total	221 19.9	441 39.8	62 5.6	384 34.7	1108 100.0