The Method of Taxation, An Illegal Undertaking By Government

Having in the last PDC submission illuminated, to some extent, the close relationships between the Taxation policy of the government, and the financial policy of both the government and the financial private sector in Barbados, we now take this opportunity to use this column, this time around, to effect the five following goals.

- 1. To state categorically that government CANNOT TAX money (only INCOMES, PAYMENTS, TRANSFERS)
- 2. To prove once again that there are many fundamental differences between money and nominal income, nominal wages, etc
- 3. To attempt to convince as many people as possible in Barbados that there are definite casual relationships between TAXATION policy and increased mass suffering and misery that is taking place among the broad masses and middle classes of people of this country.
- 4. To show once again that inflation is a bogus false economic concept
- 5. To give BU visitors and readers and some members of the Barbadian electorate another opportunity to gain a little more insight and understanding of the very profound rationalizations and syncretisations behind this very liberating powerful political philosophy of NO-TAXATION

Under this virtually centralized nominal TAXATION process in Barbados the government of Barbados CANNOT TAX money – coins, bills, and cards. It cannot therefore TAX 1 cent, 5 cent, 10 cent, 25 cent, 1 dollar, 2 dollars, 5 dollars, 10 dollars, 20 dollars, 50 dollars, 100 dollars, either in themselves or altogether.

So, since these joke DLP/BLP governments CANNOT, under this virtually centralized nominal TAXATION system, take any portions out from any of the above denominations – and do it too in a context where such monies are really in the possessions of individuals, businesses and other relevant entities – in their wallets, purses, safes, etc. – it has over the years resolved to (other than just having a few government departments, such as the so-called Inland Revenue Department, the Customs and Excise Department, etc. plunder the remunerations of the relevant people, businesses and others) having businesses places in Barbados as the next most horrendous places in which there have been violations of the income and property rights of those TAX victims in Barbados.

So, via the income expenditure generating processes, and via the exchange/distribution of goods and services processes of the country, these ubiquitous businesses have over the years been seen by the PDC as NOT ONLY involuntary TAX collectors on the behalf of these spiteful DLP/BLP Governments, BUT ALSO as financial intermediaries (money exchangers) in their own rights.

It is at these points where all incomes are earned/ where many payments and transfers are liable/ and/or are subsequently spent or invested – and where portions of the said incomes, payments and transfers are also stolen from customers, investors, etc – and where, and critically so, these said monies 1 cent, 5 cent......1 dollar, 2 dollars......... are collected and distributed, and wherefore too if these monies are not totally spent or invested (income, payments, transfers via money), the remainders can be seen to be handed over to persons in money (change); or where in the case of checks being used by the customers/investors, etc, and the full values are not utilized in the purchase of goods and services, etc. the unspent values are seen to be handed over to the particular persons in money (change) at the cash register.

But, what exposes the utter criminality of this TAXATION system (from the point of view of what is being discussion herein) is pointed at through the following sets of analyses.

For every hundred dollar – which is the highest, biggest denomination of the Barbados currency – there must be any number of combinations of dollars and cents involving 1 cent right up to 50 dollars, and with the capacity of some of them to be factored in these combinations more than once in the relevant combination – that is equivalent to \$99.99 cents + 1 cent/\$99.98 cents + 1 cent + 1 cent/\$20 + \$50 + \$10 + \$20, etc.

Hence, in that sense \$ 99.99 cents + 1 cent/ \$99.98 cents + 1 cent + 1 cent are "less discretely obliged" to every \$ 100.00 there is the financial system of Barbados than every \$ 100 is "more discretely obliged" to every combination there is (under every \$100).

As such, then, 1 cents, 5 cents, 10 cents, 25 cents, 100 cents, can more exist without 2 dollars, 5 dollars, 10 dollars, 20 dollars, 50 dollars, even though the latter set of denominations cannot exist without the former.

A similar thing happens right away down the line with \$50, \$20, \$10, \$5, \$2, \$1, 25 cents, 10 cents, 5 cents denominations, with any number of combinations making up any of them (\$50, \$20 etc.) getting less and less in inherent value in each lower case with any number of combinations of factors \$50, \$20, \$10, \$5,1 cent, not exceeding them (the said \$50, \$20, \$10, \$5,1 cent) in each case of their uses; and, too, with whatever combinations making them up being "less discretely obliged" to them than they are "more discretely obliged" to those factors making up the combinations.

Hence, in cases where there is an income/expenditure detail corresponding to every \$ 100, \$ 50, \$ 20, \$ 10, \$ 5, etc that is earned/derived, as income, by persons and other entities in this country, it is very outrageous to see that where the government goes and taxes say \$ 33 out of the total income off \$ 250 received by a private sector worker that this said \$ 33 has no blasted income/expenditure value (just half of that – INCOME), at the time the government would have held possession of it – already there is no possibility that when it (the \$33) had represented a portion of income, payment, transfer, that upon the government seizing it, that it can be transferred to the government and represented as government income/expenditure, as that government could NEVER claim ownership of what it has in fact STOLEN.

So, with any number of combinations making up the \$ 33 being "less discretely obliged" to at least one \$ 100 bill than this one \$ 100 bill is obliged to it relative to the same income/expenditure process, it must mean that, in the example given above, the said \$33 is really - \$33 in income/expenditure in the real government accounting process (for, this said \$33 did not go through the costing process).

Thus, these backward BLP/DLP Governments have NOT ONLY over the years been wickedly foolishly stealing countless portions of the incomes, payments, and transfers of the relevant people, businesses and other entities in this country, BUT they have ALSO been bizarrely obscenely incurring greater and greater levels of indebtedness to the core financial system, esp. for its providing tremendous amounts of dollar bills and money coins to them via the TAXATION PROCESS — at the dreadful expense of GREATER EVIL TAXATION itself being greater imposed on the

backs of the poor, the needy, the marginalized, in the future – which is a catastrophe that ought to be enough to make the very politically driven and conscious people of latter people charge viciously fiercely towards the said asinine BLP/DLP – who too have been long undermining the fundamental purpose for the existence of money, which is, its use as a medium of exchange – by the continuing to have the still very people-skilled, assetworthy, land controlling governmental apparatus produce ever so little amounts of goods and services which themselves can be exchanged with money.

ow, let us that the same person had spent \$ 30 out of the first hundred dollar bill used out of the said \$ 250 in income, at a grocery store in Speightstown in Barbados, on some groceries, and that in addition to this said \$30, \$10 was in TAXES, with the person getting back \$60 from the cashier.

But, let us for the time being use a different analysis to further expose the WICKEDNESS and EVIL associated with the government's TAXATION SYSTEM.

Well, this \$30, in having a corresponding NATIONALLY RULE/STANDARD BASED COMPETITION COOPERATIVE MODEL DETAIL income/expenditure detail (which is in direct contrast to the personal/corporate income expenditure detail mentioned earlier) is in direct opposition to the \$10 that the government stole in TAXATION.

Thus, it was part of the \$ 250 of the PEOPLE'S MONEY that was given by the customers to the business in the process of their taking goods and services from the business itself – Too, the said \$ 33 itself was part of the \$250 of the people's money that was taken and given to the employee by the business owner as representative of a wage.

Furthermore, it could be argued that this person's labor efforts were rewarded with a portion of the people's money — out of the people's purse, so to speak, and NOT by the business, and that the employee cum customer was allowed to get X amount at any place with the people's money and NOT with their own income.

And so this amount of the people's money that was taken from the customer by the business and handed over to the government on the threat of political sanctions being used against the business by the government – did not therefore have a corresponding NATIONALLY RULE STANDARD BASED COMPETITION COOPERATIVE MODEL DETAIL – neither was it secured on the basis of an EXCHANGE CONTRIBUTION between the government and the person – but was done for the zillionth time – on the basis of some fundamentally flawed assumptions on the part of some big jokers in government - that their right to exist in the ways that they have been accustomed to over the years; that their own fundamental interests must be protected at much cost politically financially to most others in the country; that to be stronger politically financially, etc than their nearest potential/rivals, etc, ALL equate with their continuing to help make or induce the vast majority of people in Barbados to subsist in the wavs that they have been accustomed to for a long time; equate with their continuing to help force or induce the vast majority of people in Barbados to support the fundamental interests of these big jokers in government; and equate with continuing to help make or induce the vast majority of Barbadians to remain or become subject classes.

So, this \$10 (whatever its combinations) that was stolen by the government, and that was to pass through the Treasury/the Central Bank, the Banking and Credit Union and other financial systems, is in sync with those above realist political ideologies, philosophies and psychologies. (Does the DLP/BLP reveal those kinds of truths to the masses and middle classes of people of this country?) No!! No!!! Why Not?? Why Not!!! We in the PDC again call upon the broad masses and middle classes of people to kick both DLP and BLP to FRANCE out of the parliament of this country within the next 6 years.

In the meantime, in the said example, the Money Circulation Process is temporarily made less \$10 plus whatever amounts of money (whatever the combinations) that are taken by government, the business sectors, the investment sectors, the individual and household sectors, and for whatever reasons and agreements are deposited or taken into the core financial system.

Well, in this said example, the remaining \$60 is made "less discretely obliged" to at least another \$ 100 being used some where in the financial

system, but "more discretely obliged" to a fifty dollar, a twenty dollar, a ten dollar, a five dollar being used than a \$100 bill.

As a matter of course there would have to be another \$250 earned or made liable to the said employee by the business in order for this said employee to be able to spend another one hundred dollar bill or two and for some more of that to be stolen by the government in TAXATION.

But, the remaining \$60 in money change was achieved outside of the operation of the core financial system. It was the primary cause of the \$100 being redeemed at the point of the cash register. And, even though the core financial system was partly responsible for providing the \$100, it was commercial business activity among persons and businesses and outside of this said functioning of this core financial system, that brought about the use of these monies, and that provided the basis for the government stealing portions of the incomes of the relevant peoples, businesses and other entities in the country.

So, right away it can be seen by so many people that the fundamental thing is for enough money and change to be recycled and redistributed to these ubiquitous business places so that they will support this EVIL TAXATION scourge.

Indeed, in this example it can be further imagined that the business that the cashier works for also will have to go through similar income/expenditure/exchange distribution evil/TAXATION processes when it is a customer to other businesses.

However, the reader of this submission must picture the reality in Barbados where so many dollars are handed over to the government in TAXATION that the near supposed \$ 3 Billion in TAXATION every year happens in exchange for NOTHING, for NOTHING at the same time. This is about minus \$ 3 billion, plus what is the nominal value of what is productively done on the behalf of the government by others through commercial loans, grants, etc. given to it, every fiscal year!!

It is at this point where this TAXATION system is NOT ONLY being seen by the PDC to be causing more and more money to be handed over to these very unproductive inefficient BLP/DLP led governments, BUT is ALSO causing more and more LOWER CASE denominations (RATHER THAN A BALANCED AMOUNT OF THESE) to be in circulation, thus helping to cause localized political economic depression after depression, such as this present one in Barbados, in virtually all of the production and distribution structures of this country.

And, wherefore BLP/DLP Governments have also been engaging in excessive borrowings from out of the core financial system and have also been helping to cause less money to be available to John Public to come out from this core financial system esp. for productive uses — to that extent, they have been helping to create these said depressions — and too have helped to create severe problems for the Money Circulation Process in the country.

Such have been the utter criminality of this TAXATION SYSTEM!!! Furthermore, as this TAXATION System has been helping to make the cost of living and doing business reach stratospheric levels and helping to bring about substantial personal and corporate debt and arrears, it shall obviously mean that more and more 1 cents, 5 cents, 10 cents, 25 cents, and dollar coins are going to be used/reused more than 100 dollar bills, 50 dollar bills, at this stage (and with less use during apparently prosperous times of twenty dollar bills, ten dollar bills and five dollar bills).

Well, it is the latter that brings us to another compelling irrefutable theoretic philosophic evidence that destroys the myth, the complete nonsense that is peddled by BLP/DLP parliamentarians and economists and some business people about INFLATION existing in Barbados- that it has risen by say 6.4 %, 8 %, or that it has dropped to 3.4% in a given time period.

Even in some economic textbooks it is said that INFLATION comes about when too much money is following too few goods. In some other economic textbooks it is said that INFLATION is when there is a sustained increase in the general price level in a country in a given time period.

Meanwhile, some Monetarists state that to reduce this so-called INFLATION, certain things must be done by the government to reduce, as well, the amount of money in circulation in the country – via increasing the MO, M1, Interest Rates targets, etc.

It has been said too by some local and international economists that TAXATION helps to reduce the amount of money in circulation in a country.

But the truth is that when the government goes and reduces at any time the amount of money in circulation it will primarily be destroying and undermining the livelihoods of the people of Barbados – for there is NO NEVER ANY INFLATION in this country, when the fact is that the most it takes is for the vast majority of people to do use, alone, 25 cents, the minimum, which is what a mint will bring today, to use, 100 cents, the maximum, which is equivalent to one dollar coin, to actually separate between, or better yet, engage the uses of \$ 100, \$ 50, \$ 20, \$ 10, \$5, \$2, etc...in the respective commercial transactions.

Whereas, the truth is that different dollars and cents out of a hardly expanding stock of money, and a very slowly expanding and reproducing stock of goods and services, which is therefore each year moving from hands to hands, from being established from places to places, from time to time, it must mean therefore still that any rate of use/reuse in crease in use/reuse of these denominations CANNOT, CANNOT be sued as a basis for measuring what are really the psychologized digitized subjective values that are from time to time assigned to goods and services in a country by individuals and groups of individuals.

For, example, \$ 100 or \$ 50 CANNOT measure 1 or 2 baskets of identical goods at any time, since the real costs of those baskets of goods are their material compositions — what makes them up!! Neither can 1 or 2 baskets of identical goods, measure a \$100 or a \$50, since they have their own inherent measures/values.

It is only a big rotten bag of political psychology (much of it economics) that makes some of our people believe that a basket of goods is really for say, \$30, when in truth and in fact that same basket elsewhere in Barbados will probably cost say, \$50, or say, \$10.

But, the economists and so-called politicians in parliaments and some moguls in the private sector CANNOT forever hide the truth from the broad masses and middle classes as to the fact that INFLATION does NOT exist.

The fact is that these people are making sure that more and more money goes out into the country, so that the more and more nominal incomes, payments and transfers would be guaranteed, with just about more or less the same amount of stock of goods and services being produced in the country, and so that there will be more and more EVIL and WICKED TAXATION, BUT WITHOUT their knowledge that the cost of use of money will be going higher and higher to the ultimate detriment of the growth and development of the country.